

I have never met an article so ignorant that I couldn't learn something from it.

†Tatsuo Shimosawa, MD., PhD*

†Department of Clinical Laboratory, International University of Health and Welfare, 852 Hatakeda, Narita, Chiba 286-8520, Japan.

E-mail: shimosawa-lab@iuhw.ac.jp

*Deputy editor of Laboratory Medicine International; Department of Clinical Laboratory, International University of Health and Welfare.

We have launched Laboratory Medicine International last year. Several key factors affect how this journal grows and receives a high reputation. The two important keys are the contents and accessibility of the journal. Quality of the original research works, case reports and comprehensive and instructive reviews are well-accepted factors in any academic journal. The accessibility includes how rapidly the article is peer-reviewed and the decision is made. To fasten this process, the online systems did a great job. I still remember the nightmare of submitting systems a decade ago. When we submit, we sent a printed article to the journal offices by postal mail, receive the manuscript to peer-review by postal mail, and returned the review by fax. It took more than two months or even more to receive the first decision! Nowadays, fax has gone, postal mails are not so often used and use e-mails instead. Most academic journals use submission and review applications and we do not need to rush to the post office, but just sit in our office or even at home or wherever we can access the internet and click the button to submit the article. So our journal, Laboratory Medicine International contracted with ScholarOne Manuscripts™ to make our journal easily accessible. The instructions for authors both in Japanese and English are available on the web and the automated

check system confirms the style and formats of the manuscript. This system is widely used by thousands of journals all over the world and the reader may be familiar with how to use it.

The advance in the tools makes us easy to submit articles and fasten the publication cycle. On the other hand, several concerns are raised; to find proper reviewers, usage of automatically assisted artificial intelligence / machine learning (AI/ML) -powered writing tools (including ChatGPT), fabrication or plagiarization. As for finding reviewers, tools such as Web of Science™ are still underdeveloping and at the same time potential reviewers rather easily decline our invitations compared with the former off-line system. It is partly because recently the number of journals increase so largely and every day we receive invitations to peer-review articles that do not necessarily fall into our expertise. We, the editorial board members of Laboratory Medicine International, do our best to choose the proper reviewers and ask your favor to accept our invitation. Do not discard our emails as junk or discard them without reading them! It is we, the active member of the Japanese Society of Laboratory Medicine, who bring up our society by publishing our works in Laboratory Medicine International.